% AO 120 (R_Gr. 299)

TO: Mail Stop 8
Director of the U.S. Patent & Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

REPORT ON THE FILING OR DETERMINATION OF AN ACTION REGARDING A PATENT OR TRADEMARK

In the above-entitled case, the following patent(s) have been included:

DATE INCLUDED	INCLUDED BY	Answer Cross Bill Other Pleading	
PATENT OR TRADEMARK NO	DATE OF PATENT OR TRADEMARK	HOLDER OF PATENT OR TRADEMARK	
l .			
2			
3			
4			
5			

In the above-entitled case, the following decision has been rendered or judgement issued:

		I and the same of	IDATE
CLERK		(BY) DEPUTY CLERK	DATE
	Richard W. Wieking	Valenc Kyono	August 16, 2011

Copy 1—Upon initiation of action, mail this copy to Commissioner Copy 3—Upon termination of action, mail this copy to Commissioner Copy 2—Upon filing document adding patent(s), mail this copy to Commissioner Copy 4—Case file copy

Daniel R. Blakey (State Bar No. 143748) STEPTOE & JOHNSON LLP 633 West Fith Street, Suite 700 Los Angeles, California 90071 Phone: (213) 439-9400 Facsimile: (213) 439-9509 Email: dhlakey@stentoe.com

Seth A. Watkins (pro hac vice pending)
Timothy C. Bickham (pro hac vice pending)
Houda Morad (pro hac vice pending)
STEPTOE & JOHNSON LIP
1330 Connecticut Ave., NW
Washington, DC 20036
Phone: (202) 429-3006
Fassimile: (202) 429-3902

Email: sethwatkins@steptoe.com

tbickham@steptoe.com

hmorad@steptoe.com Attorneys for Plaintiff Tessenderlo Kerley, Inc.

4

8

Q

10

11

13

14 15 16

17

18

19

20

22

23 24

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE

NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

TESSENDERLO KERLEY, INC., a Delaware corporation,

Plaintiff.

11 3955

COMPLAINT FOR PATENT INFRINGEMENT

DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL

D & M CHEM, INC., a Washington corporation,

Defendant.

26 27

1	Plaintiff Tessenderlo Kerley, Inc. ("TKI"), by and through its undersigned attorneys, files			
2	this Complaint against defendant D & M Chem, Inc. ("D&M Chem"). In support of its claims,			
3	TKI states as follows:			
4	PARTIES			
5	TKI is a Delaware corporation having its principal place of business at 2255			
6	North 44th Street, Phoenix, Arizona 85008.			
7	Upon information and belief, defendant D&M Chem is a Washington			
8	corporation, having a place of business at 112 Charron Road, Moxee, Washington 98936.			
0	2 Defendant DRM Cham markets and distributes are mestastants throughout the			

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

This is an action for patent infringement arising under the Patent Act.

United States including in the state of California, in direct competition with TKI.

11

14

16

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

28

- This Court has subject matter jurisdiction over this action under 28 U.S.C. § 1338(a), which confers jurisdiction over cases of patent infringement, and under 28 U.S.C. § 1331, which confers federal question jurisdiction in general.
 - 6. Venue is proper in this district pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1391 and 1400.

INTRADISTRICT ASSIGNMENT

 This is an Intellectual Property Action to be assigned on a district-wide basis pursuant to Civil Local Rule 3-2(c).

NATURE OF THE ACTION

- This action is brought under the Patent Act, 35 U.S.C. § 271 et seq.
- TKI seeks injunctive and compensatory damages for D&M Chem's willful
 infringement of TKI's United States Patent Nos. 6,110,867 ("the '867 patent") and 6,464,995
 ("the '995 patent").
- TKI and D&M Chem are direct competitors in the market for the manufacture and sale of crop protectants.
- D&M Chem's patent infringement has resulted in substantial harm to TKI in the form of lost sales and lost profits. Injunctive relief from this Court is necessary to prevent

4

14

18

BACKGROUND

TKI's Patents

- 12. The '867 patent, entitled "Method for Providing Enhanced Photosynthesis," issued on August 29, 2000 in the name of inventors David Michael Glenn, Dennis G. Sekutowski, and Gary J. Puterka. TKI enjoys all exclusive rights and privileges with respect to the '867 patent in the United States, including the right to enforce the '867 patent in its own name. A copy of the '867 patent is attached hereto as Exhibit 1.
- 9 13. The '867 patent was the subject of reexamination proceedings, Application
 0 Serial No. 90/006,658, before the United States Patent and Trademark Office ("USPTO"). A
 1 reexamination certificate was issued by the USPTO on March 7, 2006.
 - 14. The subject matter of the '867 patent relates to a method for enhancing photosynthesis of a horticultural crop by increasing carbon dioxide assimilation of said horticultural crop which comprises applying to the surface of said horticultural crop an effective amount of one or more highly reflective particulate materials, said particulate materials being finely divided, and wherein the particulate as applied allow for the exchange of gases on the surface of said crop and the finely divided particulate materials have a median individual particle size below about 3 microns.
- 15. The '995 patent, entitled "Treated Horticultural Substrates," issued on October
 15. 2002 in the name of inventors Dennis G. Sckutowski, and Gary J. Puterka, and David
 Michael Glenn. TKI enjoys all exclusive rights and privileges with respect to the '995 patent
 in the United States, including the right to enforce the '995 patent in its own name. A copy
 of the '995 patent is attached hereto as Exhibit 2.

to the '99' patent is attached hereto as Exhibit 2.

16. The subject matter of the '995 patent relates to a method for enhancing the horticultural effect of horticultural substrates selected from the group consisting of fruits, vegetables, trees, flowers, grasses, roots, and landscape and ornamental plants which comprises applying a slurry comprising water, a surfactant, and one or more particulate materials, selected from the group consisting of calcium carbonate, hydrous kaolin, calcined

kaolin and mixtures thereof, to the surface of said substrate to form a membrane comprised of one or more particulate layers and the surfactant, said layers comprising one or more particulate materials, said particulate materials being finely divided, and wherein said membrane allows for the exchange of gases on the surface of said substrate. 5 Crop Protectant Products

17 TKI manufactures and sells a kaolin-based crop protectant product under the brand name Surround®, for use as a broad spectrum agricultural crop protectant for controlling damage from various physiological disorders such as sunburn and heat stress as well as a growth enhancer. Exhibit 3 (Surround label). TKI has the right to exclude from the marketplace any competing product for which its application infringes at least claim 1 of the 867 patent and/or claim 23 of the '995 patent.

8

10 11

10

20

21

22

23

24

26

28

- 18. The Eclipse® crop protectant product has been distributed by defendant D&M. Chem. See Exhibit 4 (D&M Eclipse brochure).
- 14 The Eclipse product was also distributed by a third-party Novazone, Inc. ("Novazone"), which has since changed its corporate name to Purfresh, Inc. ("Purfresh"). See Exhibit 5 (Novazone Eclipse brochure). Purfresh is a Delaware corporation, having a place of business in this judicial district at 47211 Bayside Parkway, Fremont, California 94538. On 18 information and belief. Purfresh obtained the Eclipse product from D&M Chem.

D&M Chem's Infringement of TKI's Patents

- Upon information and belief, application of the Eclipse product infringes at least claim 1 of the '867 patent and claim 23 of the '995 patent.
- 21. D&M Chem's promotional literature for the Eclipse product describes it as: (1) a calcium carbonate and boron liquid suspension having submicron particles: (2) effective in preventing and/or reducing sunburn and heat stress; and (3) increasing color, the integrity of cell structure, and reducing physiological problems of crops. See Exhibit 4.
- Novazone's promotional literature for the Eclipse product describes it as: (1) a calcium carbonate and boron colloidal liquid having submicron particles: (2) effective in the control of sunburn and lessoning the effects of heat stress; and (3) enabling natural and better COMPLAINT FOR PATENT INFRINGEMENT Page 4

coloring and photosynthesis as well as providing higher pack-outs, larger produce, and earlier harvest dates. See Exhibit 5.

COUNT I

Infringement of U.S. Patent No. 6,110,867

- Paragraphs 1 through 22 of the complaint are incorporated by reference as 23. though fully set forth herein.
 - 24 All claims of the '867 patent are presumed valid and enforceable.

8

14

18

19

21

22

23

24

28

others to use the Eclipse product.

- On information and helief, defendant D&M Chem has contributorily infringed 25. and/or actively induced the infringement under 35 U.S.C. § 271, and continues to contributorily infringe and/or actively induce the infringement under 35 U.S.C. § 271, of at least claim 1 of the '867 patent by (1) making, having made, selling, and/or offering for sale, (2) authorizing others to make, have made, sell, and/or offer for sale, and/or (3) causing
- 26 Defendant D&M Chem's infringement has been committed with knowledge of the '867 patent and has been intentional, willful and deliberate.
- 16 27. As a result of defendant D&M Chem's infringement of the '867 patent, TKI has been damaged and will be further damaged, and is entitled to be compensated for such damages, pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 284, in an amount to be determined at trial.
- As a result of defendant D&M Chem's infringement of the '867 patent, TKI 20 has suffered and will continue to suffer irreparable harm, for which TKI has no adequate remedy at law, unless the Court enjoins such infringing activities pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 283.

COUNT II

Infringement of U.S. Patent No. 6,464,995

- 25 Paragraphs 1 through 28 of the complaint are incorporated by reference as 29 26 though fully set forth herein.
 - All claims of the '995 patent are presumed valid and enforceable. 30
 - 31 On information and belief, defendant D&M Chem has contributorily infringed

and/or actively induced the infringement under 35 U.S.C. § 271, and continues to contributorily infringe and/or actively induce the infringement under 35 U.S.C. § 271, of at least claim 23 of the 995 patent by (1) making, having made, selling, and/or offering for sale, (2) authorizing others to make, have made, sell, and/or offer for sale, and/or (3) causing others to use the Eclipse product.

5

8

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

26

28

 Defendant D&M Chem's infringement has been committed with knowledge of the '995 patent and has been intentional, willful and deliberate.

As a result of defendant D&M Chem's infringement of the '995 patent, TKI
has been damaged and will be further damaged, and is entitled to be compensated for such
damages, pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 284, in an amount to be determined at trial.

34. As a result of defendant D&M Chem's infringement of the '995 patent, TKI has suffered and will continue to suffer irreparable harm, for which TKI has no adequate remedy at law, unless the Court enjoins such infringing activities pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 283.

REQUEST FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, TKI respectfully requests that this Court enter a Judgment and Order in its favor and against defendant D&M Chem as follows:

(a) A judgment that defendant D&M Chem has contributed to and/or actively induced the infringement of the '867 patent by (i) making, having made, selling, and/or offering for sale, (ii) authorizing others to make, have made, sell, and/or offer for sale, and/or (iii) causing others to use the Eclipse product in the United States;

(b) A judgment that defendant D&M Chem has contributed to and/or actively induced the infringement of the '995 patent by (i) making, having made, selling, and/or offering for sale, (ii) authorizing others to make, have made, sell, and/or offer for sale, and/or offering for sale, to such the 'bright of the 'bright' of the 'bright'

(c) A judgment and order permanently enjoining defendant D&M Chem from further infringing the '867 patent by (i) making, having made, selling, and/or offering for sale, (ii) authorizing others to make, have made, sell, and/or offer for sale, and/or (iii)

causing others to use the Eclipse product in the United States; A judgment and order permanently enjoining defendant D&M Chem from 3 further infringing the '995 patent by (i) making, having made, selling, and/or offering for sale. (ii) authorizing others to make, have made, sell, and/or offer for sale, and/or (iii) causing others to use the Eclipse product in the United States; 6 A judgment and order requiring defendant D&M Chem to pay all available and 7 legally permissible damages to compensate TKI for defendant's infringing acts, but in no 8 event less than a reasonable royalty in accordance with 35 U.S.C. § 284; 9 (f) A finding that defendant's conduct has been willful, warranting an award of treble damages under 35 U.S.C. § 284; 10 (g) A finding that this case is exceptional under 35 U.S.C. § 285, warranting an 12 award to TKI of its costs, including attorney fees, and other expenses incurred in connection with this action: A judgment and order requiring that the defendant pay TKI pre-judgment interest and post-judgment interest on all damages awarded; 16 Such further relief as this Court deems just and appropriate. (i) 18 19 20 21 22 11/// 23 111 24 25

26 28

JURY DEMAND

TKI demands a trial by jury on all issues so triable.

STEPTOE & JOHNSON LLP

Dated: August 10, 2011 By:

Daniel R. Blakey (State Bar No. 143748) Seth A. Watkins (pro hac vice pending) Timothy C. Bickham (pro hac vice pending) Houda Morad (pro hac vice pending)

Attorneys for Plaintiff TESSENDERLO KERLEY, INC.